Table 2. Final positional and thermal parameters with standard deviations

Atom	x	$10^5 imes \sigma(x)$	y	$10^5 imes \sigma(y)$	z	$10^5 imes \sigma(z)$	B	$\sigma(B)$
C(0)	0.0000	0	0.0000	0	0.0000	0	1.56 Å^2	0.34
C(1)	0.1207	122	0.0636	117	0.1270	164	2.36	0.20
O(1)	0.1608	86	-0.0483	78	0.2681	126	2.58	0.13
N	0.2870	104	-0.0165	101	0.3716	134	$2 \cdot 41$	0.16
O(2)	0.3158	101	-0.1020	99	0.4951	173	4.32	0.20
O(3)	0.3500	97	0.0921	98	0.3240	137	4.08	0.20

The final positional and thermal parameters with their standard deviations (calculated from the inverses of the diagonal terms in the least-squares matrix) are listed in Table 2, and the bond lengths and valency angles are compared in Table 3 with the values of Booth and

 Table. 3. Bond lengths and valency angles
 (with standard deviations)

	Booth and Llewellyn (1947)	Present analysis
C(0) - C(1)	1·498 Å	1.537 ± 0.011 Å
C(1) - O(1)	1.371	1.462 ± 0.014
O(1) - N	1.364	1.404 ± 0.012
N-O(2)	1.272	$1 \cdot 203 \pm 0 \cdot 014$
N-O(3)	1.285	$1 \cdot 225 \pm 0 \cdot 013$
C(1)-C(0)-C(1')) 112.6	$113.0 \pm 0.6^{\circ}$
C(1)-C(0)-C(1')	′) 107·9	$107 \cdot 7 \pm 0 \cdot 6$
C(0)-C(1)-O(1)	108.5	105.6 ± 0.7
C(1) - O(1) - N	115.7	$112 \cdot 6 \pm 0.7$
O(1) - N - O(2)	116.1	112.5 ± 0.8
O(1) - N - O(3)	$121 \cdot 1$	117.1 ± 0.8
O(2)-N-O(3)	122.7	130.4 ± 0.9

Llewellyn. It is immediately apparent that none of the remarkable features previously noted is real. The C(0)-C(1) distance $(1\cdot53, \text{ Å})$ is equal to the normal single-bond length; the C(1)-O(1) $(1\cdot46_2 \text{ Å})$ and O(1)-N(1) $(1\cdot40_4 \text{ Å})$ bonds are both slightly longer than the distances quoted for single bonds $(1\cdot43 \text{ and } 1\cdot36 \text{ Å})$ respectively in *Tables of Interatomic Distances*, 1958). All the dimensions of the nitrate group are very similar

to those in nitric acid (Millen and Morton, 1960). The pentaerythritol tetranitrate molecule thus exhibits no unusual features.

The author is indebted to the National Research Council of Canada for financial support, to Norman Camerman for his collaboration in writing the leastsquares program, and to the staff of the University of British Columbia Computing Centre for assistance with the operation of the computer.

References

- BERGHUIS, J., HAANAPPEL, IJ. M., POTTERS, M., LOOP-STRA, B. O., MACGILLAVRY, C. H. & VEENENDAAL, A. L. (1955). Acta Cryst. 8, 478.
- BOOTH, A. D. & LLEWELLYN, F. J. (1947). J. Chem. Soc. p. 837.
- CRUICKSHANK, D. W. J. (1961). Computing Methods and the Phase Problem in X-ray Crystal Analysis, p. 41. Pergamon Press.
- DIXON, W. B. & WILSON, E. B. (1961). J. Chem. Phys. 35, 191.
- MAK, T.C.W. & TROTTER, J. (1963). In preparation.
- MILLEN, D. J. & MORTON, J. R. (1960). J. Chem. Soc. p. 1523.
- PAULING, L & BROCKWAY, L. O. (1937). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 59, 13.
- Tables of Interatomic Distances and Configuration in Molecules and Ions (1958). Chem. Soc. Special Publ. No. 11.

Acta Cryst. (1963). 16, 699

Magnetically different crystal modifications of copper(II) salicylate. By MOTOMICHI INOUE, MICHIHIKO KISHITA and MASAJI KUBO, Chemistry Department, Nagoya University, Chikusa, Nagoya, Japan

(Received 29 December 1962)

Ploquin (1951; Foëx, 1957) has determined the magnetic susceptibility of copper(II) salicylate tetrahydrate, which yields a magnetic moment per copper atom equal to about 1.44 Bohr magnetons. This subnormal magnetic moment smaller than the spin-only moment 1.73 B.M. for one unpaired electron is not surprising as it stands, because a number of copper(II) carboxylates show subnormal magnetic moments (Figgis & Martin, 1956; Kondo & Kubo, 1958; Asai, Kishita & Kubo, 1959). In fact, van Niekerk & Schoening (1953) have shown that in the crystals of copper(II) acetate monohydrate, copper atoms are bridged in pairs by four Cu-O-C-O-Cu links with the Cu-Cu distance as short as 2.64 Å permitting spin interaction between the copper atoms involved in the

pair. However, a complete X-ray crystal analysis carried out by Hanic & Michalov (1960) on copper(II) salicylate tetrahydrate has indicated that planar centrosymmetric molecules $Cu(C_6H_4.OH.CO_2)_2.2H_2O$ are linked in the structure by a system of hydrogen bonds in which two remaining water molecules also take part. The shortest Cu-Cu distance is equal to 3.728 Å, which would lead to a magnetic moment of about 1.9 ± 0.1 B.M., normally observed for a majority of copper(II) salts. The present investigation has been undertaken in order to clarify this apparant discrepancy.

Copper(II) salicylate tetrahydrate was prepared in accordance with a method employed by Hanic and Michalov. The solution of copper(II) sulfate pentahydrate (1 mole) in water (1000 ml) was mixed with an equimolar solution of sodium salicylate in water (750 ml). When the mixed solution was left to stand, pale blue needle crystals separated. They were recrystallized from water. Analysis: Calc. for Cu(C₆H₄.OH.CO₂)₂.4H₂O: Cu, 15.5; C, 41.0; H, 4.4%. Found: Cu, 15.6; C, 41.0; H, 4.6%. The magnetic susceptibility 3.32×10^{-6} per gram of this sample determined by the Gouy method at room temperature (22 °C) gave a magnetic moment 1.92 B.M. (N modification, N standing for the normal moment), corrections being made for the molar diamagnetic susceptibilities of salicylic acid (-75×10^{-6}) and water (-13×10^{-6}). This indicates the presence of separate rather than paired copper atoms in crystals in agreement with the result of X-ray analysis.

Accordingly, we were led to suppose that there should be at least two magnetically different crystal modifications of copper(II) salicylate tetrahydrate unless Ploquin's value is incorrect. Unfortunately, Ploquin does not describe the method of preparation of his sample in detail. Therefore, we have tried various methods of preparation and finally succeeded in obtaining a sample differing from the N modification in color and crystal habit. The aforementioned copper(II) salicylate tetrahydrate and an equimolar amount of salicylic acid were dissolved in as small an amount of ethanol as possible. A small amount of water was added to it. (In cases when precipitates were formed, a minimum amount of acetone was added to dissolve them.) When the solution was cooled to 0 °C. blue-green plate crystals separated. Alternatively, the ethanol solution of salicylic acid and the aqueous solution of copper(II) acetate were mixed and cooled to obtain the same sample. Analysis: Calc. for $Cu(C_6H_4, OH, CO_2)_2$. 4H₂O: Cu, 15.5; H₂O, 17.6%. Found: Cu, 15.7; H₂O, 17.2%. This sample was fairly stable so long as it was kept in a refrigerator. However, it gradually lost water in atmospheric air. This preparation showed a subnormal magnetic moment 1.45 B.M. at room temperature (24 °C) suggesting the presence of dimer molecules similar to those found in copper(II) acetate monohydrate crystals (S modification, S standing for the subnormal moment).

The conversion of the N modification into the S modification can be achieved as described above. The reverse process is much simpler: the former can be obtained by the recrystallization of the latter from water.

The existence of two modifications of copper(II) salicylate tetrahydrate suggests the possible formation of N and S modifications for anhydrous copper(II) salicylate also. Therefore, we have tried its synthesis by use of solvents other than water and the dehydration

of the tetrahydrates. The first method was unsuccessful. The dehydration of the S tetrahydrate by gradual heating or over phosphorus pentoxide at room temperature yielded green powders of anhydrous copper(II) salicylate showing a subnormal magnetic moment 1.44 B.M. at room temperature (25 °C) (S-1 modification). Analysis: Calc. for Cu(C₆H₄.OH.CO₂)₂: Cu, 18.8; C, 49.7; H, 3.0%. Found: Cu, 18.8; C, 49.2; H, 3.3%. On the other hand, the N tetrahydrate gave two different anhydrous compounds depending on the method of dehydration. When the pale blue tetrahydrate was heated to 85 °C very slowly and maintained at the same temperature until no further weight decrease took place, blue-green anhydrous copper(II) salicylate was obtained. It showed a subnormal moment 1.41 B.M. at 25 °C (S-2 modification). Analysis: Calc. for Cu(C₆H₄.OH.CO₂)₂: Cu, 18.8; C, 49.7; H, 3.0%. Found: Cu, 19.0; C, 48.9; H, 3.3%. On dehydration of the same tetrahydrate sample by means of phosphorus pentoxide at room temperature or when it was heated rapidly to 85 °C, very hygroscopic brown anhydrous copper(II) salicylate was obtained. Analysis: Calc. for Cu(C₆H₄. OH. CO₂)₂: Cu, 18.8; C, 49.7; H, 3.0%. Found: Cu, 18.6; C, 49.2; H, 3.1%. The magnetic moment observed at 25 °C was 1.87 B.M. (N modification). Although chemical analysis indicated the anhydrous nature of these three samples, it is not certain whether they are free from contamination with magnetically different modifications. Despite slight differences in the observed moment and color between the S-1 and S-2modifications, it is difficult to conclude that they represent two different types of crystallographically uniform modification, because the properties of the S-1 sample depended to some extent on the rate of dehydration in preparation. However, there is no doubt that both N and S modifications exist for anhydrous copper(II) salicylate.

References

- ASAI, O., KISHITA, M. & KUBO, M. (1959). J. Phys. Chem. 63, 96.
- FIGGIS, B. N. & MARTIN, R. L. (1956). J. Chem. Soc. p. 3837.
- FOEX, G. (1957). Constantes Sélectionnées, Diamagnétisme et Paramagnétisme. Paris: Masson.
- HANIC, F. & MICHALOV, J. (1960). Acta Cryst. 13, 299.
 KONDO, M. & KUBO, M. (1958). J. Phys. Chem. 62, 468, 1558.
- NIEKERK, J. N. VAN & SCHOENING, F. R. L. (1953). Nature, Lond. 171, 36; Acta Cryst. 6, 227.
- PLOQUIN, J. (1951). Bull. Soc. Chim. France, 18, 757.

Acta Cryst. (1963). 16, 700

A redetermination of the orthorhombic IF₇ structure. A correction. By R. D. BURBANK, Bell Telephone Laboratories, Incorporated, Murray Hill, New Jersey, U.S.A.

(Received 5 January 1963)

In the paper of the above title (Burbank, 1962) there is a typographical error on p. 1213 in the φ coordinates of atoms 3,3' and 4,4' in the simplified four parameter description. These quantities should read $78.65 \pm 1.15^{\circ}$ and $180-78.65 \pm 1.15^{\circ}$ instead of $76.65 \pm 1.15^{\circ}$ and

 $180-76\cdot 65\pm l\cdot 15^\circ.$ I am indebted to Dr Andrew D. Liehr for calling this to my attention.

Reference

BURBANK, R. D. (1962). Acta Cryst. 15, 1207.